#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

. EINGEGANGEN 1 1. Sep. 2006

## PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

#### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

KAMINSKI, Susanne Büchel Kaminski & Partner Patentanwälte Est. Austrasse 79 FL-9490 Vaduz

(TCT Nuics 44013.5(c) and 12.2)	LIECHTENSTEIN			
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 08 September 2006 (08.09.2006)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference H-P-5869-WO	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION			
International application No. PCT/EP2004/010585	International filing date (day/month/year) 22 September 2004 (22.09.2004)			
Applicant HÖGE	Günther			
1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.				
The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).				
The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).				
2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or	elected Offices.			
The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:				
None				
The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:				
EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HL	V, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, J, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW			
3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official langua	age(s) of the elected Office(s).			
The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the internal	tional application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation			

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the

must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Yolaine Cussac

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference H-P-5869-WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
<u> </u>	International filing date (day/month/year) 22 September 2004 (22.09.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03 December 2003 (03.12.2003)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant HÖGE, Günther					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary i		the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference er I) instead.		
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opir applicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	rnational application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application		
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).				
			Date of issuance of this report 29 August 2006 (29.08.2006)		
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		ombettes	Authorized officer  Yolaine Cussac		
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70			e-mail: ptl1@wipo.int		

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing See form PCT/ISA/210 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION H-P-5869-WO See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/010585 22.09.2004 03.12.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61H33/06 Applicant HÖGE, Günther This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/010585

Bo	x No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was a unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed intion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	itional comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/010585

Box				de 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; sporting such statement	
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N	)	Claims	1-13	YES
			Claims		NO
	Inventive st	ep (IS)	Claims	1-13	YES
			Claims		NO
	Industrial a	pplicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	YES
			Claims		NO

Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following document(s):

D1: US-A-3 009 165

D2: US-A-5 448 785 and

D3: US-A-6 170 097.

- Document D1 is considered to be the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1. It discloses all the features of the subject matter of claim 1 with the exception of the flexible covering which extends between the side walls and the rear wall which has at least two sequences of in each case at least two fixed rear wall elements, the fixed rear wall elements of a sequence being arranged one above the other in the unfolded state. These features provide a foldable sauna which has smaller dimensions in the folded state.
- A flexible covering is disclosed in D3. However, even if a person skilled in the art had combined D1 with D3, he would not have arrived at the subject matter of claim 1, since it would still lack the feature of the particular arrangement of the rear

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box No. V

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/010585

wall. He would have been just as unlikely to arrive at the subject matter of claim 1 if he had combined D1 with D2: on the one hand if he had provided the rear wall of D1 with the features of the side wall of D2, and on the other hand if he had not solved the problem of the compactness at the same time.

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

- 3 Claim 1 therefore meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) and (3), as well as the industrial applicability requirements of PCT Article 33(4).
- 4 Claims 2-13 are dependent on claim 1 and therefore likewise meet the PCT requirements for novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability.